India

World Bank Group: *Local Government Borrowing Database*

India Country Profile: 2025 Update

Type of state	Federal
State / regional government level (number)	States (28)[1] Union Territories (8)
Local general government levels (number)	Urban Local Bodies (4,970) Villages (*Panchayats*) (262,771)[2]
Total subnational *(state/regional + local) liabilities to GDP, 2023*	26%[3]
Local government outstanding liabilities, *% of total subnational, 2021*	0.09%
Total subnational liabilities growth*, CPI adjusted, 2016 to 2021*	35%
Local government liabilities growth*, CPI adjusted, 2016 to 2021*[4]	165%

Legal & regulatory environment	• Borrowing and lending is enabled, but Indian local governments have low levels of financial autonomy and capacity to raise financing[5] • In most states, ULB borrowing requires the approval of the state government, usually on a case-by-case basis, and this can often take six months or more[6]
Borrowing readiness	• ULBs have issued bonds • Lack of timely, reliable data on local governments finance[7] • While improvements have been made, challenges remain in accounting and financial management of many ULBs[8]
Macro credit conditions	• All local governments rely heavily on intergovernmental transfers • Most local governments don't have stable, predictable, and adequate revenues, hindering their ability to borrow[9]

Highlights

- In most states, key functions have not devolved to ULBs, which lack control over services and finances. Executive powers often remain with state-appointed officials, and state governments retain full authority over the roles, responsibilities, and financial activities of local governments, including borrowing approvals.
- All borrowing at the local government level (i.e., non-state or union territory level) in India happens with Urban Local Bodies (ULBs) and not the smaller and generally rural Panchayats.
- ULBs can borrow from government institutions, financial intermediary funds in some states, banking institutions, and the capital market.
- Municipal revenues remain stagnant at approximately 1% of GDP, concentrated in larger ULBs. Weak own-source revenue performance and limited administrative capacity hinder local fiscal space and project implementation.
- Central and state transfers to local governments have increased over time. Although determined every five years by finance commissions, their unpredictability and the low absorptive capacity of cities limit planning and reduce incentives to borrow or tap capital markets. [10]
- ULB data reporting is not standardized across states, making it difficult to compile aggregate debt figures. Efforts such as the City Finance Portal aim to centralize and improve data availability, but gaps remain

City Creditworthiness Initiative

THE WORLD BANK
IBRD • IDA | WORLD BANK GROUP

PPIAF
Enabling Infrastructure Investment

Borrowing legal & regulatory environment

Overview: India has a two-tier subnational government structure, comprising the state level with 28 states and 8 Union Territories, and the local government level, which includes over 275,000 entities. Local governments consist of rural bodies (Panchayati Raj Institutions) and Urban Local Bodies (ULBs), with approximately 669,000 villages and nearly 5,000 ULBs across the country. While India has a dual-tier local governance structure comprising both urban and rural local bodies, this note focuses primarily on ULBs, as they are the main entities involved in subnational borrowing and access to market-based financing.

Since the 74th Amendment to the Constitution, urban local bodies (ULBs) have been structured into three categories: Municipal Corporations, Municipal Councils, and Nagar Panchayats. Population size is a common factor; however, classification of these ULBs is determined by state laws and may consider additional criteria such as revenue base, infrastructure, and urbanization degree. As per the 2011 Census, India had 53 metropolitan areas with populations over 1 million, including 45 cities with populations between 1 and 5 million, 5 between 5 and 10 million, and three cities namely Delhi, Kolkata, and Mumbai with populations exceeding 10 million.

The Constitution delegates powers regarding the establishment and regulation of local governments to state governments. Local governments are entities created by state governments that derive their powers and responsibilities from state-level statutes. As a result, the responsibilities and powers of local governments vary significantly across the country from state to state.[11]

***Borrowing framework & process*:** State-level municipal legislation provides the legal framework for ULB powers, including borrowing, while federal-level provisions regulate lenders, instruments, financial markets, and tax regimes.

The Constitution:

The 73rd and 74th Constitutional Amendment Acts of 1992 have been important milestones in strengthening of local governance in rural and urban areas in India. These amendments provide for the states to devolve financial and administrative responsibilities to local governments.

The 73rd Constitutional Amendment Act granted self-governance status to rural local governments (or *Panchayats)* and grants the states permission to allocate financial and administrative powers to local governing bodies. The 74th Constitutional Amendment Act of 1992 gave states the ability to award self-government status to Urban Local Bodies (ULBs) by transferring financial and administrative powers to them. After the 74th Constitutional Amendment Act, all state governments were permitted (and perhaps encouraged) to empower the ULBs by assigning them defined functions and responsibilities.

Federal-level regulations:

The Government of India (GoI) has the power to regulate lenders and lending instruments through the Reserve Bank of India (RBI), the financial markets through Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI), and the tax regime surrounding municipal debt obligations through the Ministry of Finance.

Reserve Bank of India (RBI) regulations: The RBI has allowed foreign investors to invest in municipal bonds under prescribed limits to increase the potential supply of credit to municipalities in India. Since April 2019, foreign investment in these bonds has been permitted. However, total foreign investment in municipal bonds is capped at 2% of the outstanding stock of State Development Loans (SDLs) as part of broader foreign portfolio investment (FPI) limits in government securities.[12]

Securities and exchange board of India (SEBI) regulations: SEBI issued its Issue and Listing of Municipal Debt Securities Regulations in 2015 (as amended in September 2019). It also released the disclosures and other compliance requirements in November 2019. The SEBI regulations prescribe the eligibility, terms and conditions for public issue including tenure, credit rating, minimum subscription,

accounting, auditing, and reporting requirements and other disclosures.

Tax regime for municipal bonds and infrastructure investments: The Ministry of Finance has been gradually opening the economy for Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) by extending tax concessions with the aim of attracting long-term investments in India's infrastructure sector. Some examples are tax free bonds, concessional tax rates to foreign investors, and tax exemptions for long term infrastructure investments.

The Ministry of Finance regulates tax free bonds. However, stakeholders have noted that the approval process can be complex and may at times lack transparency, which could pose challenges for potential investors.[13] In recent years the Indian Government has been moving away from granting tax-free status to local government bonds in favor of an incentive scheme under the Ministry of Housing and Urban Affairs (MOHUA).[14]

In the Federal Budget for 2020-2021, the concessional tax rate of 5% on interest for local government bonds (section 194LD of the Income Tax Act) was extended for bonds issued until June 2023 for foreign investors.

Finally, the Central Board of Direct Taxes (CBDT) granted tax exemption on interest, dividend and capital gains income on long term investments made in infrastructure (Clause (23FE) of section 10 of the Income Tax Act). This provision was introduced through the Finance Act, 2020 to encourage investments of Sovereign Wealth Fund (SWF) and Pension Fund (PF) into the infrastructure sector of India. The tax break is applicable for investments made till March 2024 and is expected to help finance nearly 7,000 projects that are part of the national infrastructure pipeline.[15]

State-level Regulations

Legislation covering local government finance varies across the states. State governments have full powers to regulate the roles, powers, duties, and financial activities of local governments in their territory, including all local government borrowing. State-level regulations and procedures determine all aspects of *ex ante*[16] *and ex post*[17] local government borrowing regulations. Box 1 provides an example of the municipal legislation in the state of *Madhya Pradesh* for borrowing and debt.

Box 1: Municipal legislation in Madhya Pradesh: provisions for borrowings and debt

MADHYA PRADESH – Madhya Pradesh *Municipal Corporation Act 1956*

Section 102 – Powers of Corporation to borrow money:
- Stipulates that approval of State Government is mandatory for borrowing.
- The loan proceeds may be utilized to fund capital projects, land acquisition, and refinancing of loans.
- Borrowings cannot be used for payment of salaries or allowances to any municipal officers or servants
- Maximum repayment period of fifty years. In case borrowing is for repayment of an earlier loan, the tenor cannot exceed that of previous loan.

Section 104 –Borrowing limits

Total debt cannot exceed double the value of land and building assets in the ULB

Section 113 –Repayment of loans:

Lays out the debt repayment method as follows: by payment from a sinking fund, by equal payments of principal and interest, by refinance of loans or a combination of refinancing and payments.

Section 122 – Revenue intercept.

The Act allows the State Government to intercept the revenue of the ULB in case of delays in payments.

Section 123 – Annual statement to be prepared by Commissioner: This section lays out disclosure requirements detailing the outstanding loan, repayment track record, available balance in the sinking fund, etc.

Source: PPP Cell, Infrastructure Division, Department of Economic Affairs, Ministry of Finance, Government of India, 2017

State-level borrowing restrictions on local governments: The regulations pertaining to local government borrowing vary from state to state. However, there are several common threads.

- Borrowing approval: In most states, ULBs require the approval of the state government (normally the Department of Municipal Affairs) to borrow.
- Most states stipulate borrowing limits, loan duration, etc. using a variety of standards such as the value of ULB-owned property or the debt service payments.
- Most states have a maximum debt repayment period between 30 and 60 years.
- Most states require that debt cannot be used to pay operating costs.

State-level borrowing incentives / credit enhancements:

- Most states allow ULBs to pledge local revenue and property to be used as collateral as a form of risk mitigation for the lender.
- States can issue guarantees for municipal borrowing only with the approval of the state's Department of Finance. However, the criteria for the issuance of guarantees, the authorization process and its timing are unclear in most states.[18]

Appendix 1 and Appendix 2 provide a comparison of borrowing regulations between the five most populous states in India (Bihar, Uttar Pradesh, West Bengal, Maharashtra, and Madhya Pradesh).

Penalties related to public debt management (ex-post procedures): The process in the event of local government default in India is vague and the data is unreliable. There is no municipal bankruptcy process provided for at federal level or state levels and defaults (or "restructurings") are usually handled through ad hoc negotiations involving the borrowing municipality, the relevant state government, and the investor.[19]

Recently, the federal *Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code* (IBC) of 2016 - the bankruptcy law of India - aimed to consolidate the existing framework by creating a single law for insolvency and bankruptcy. It is not clear, however, if this law applies to ULBs or only to private corporations since there is no mention of local governments in this law.[20]

Debt management

Aggregate debt levels: Total debt levels for Indian ULBs are low (213 billion Indian rupee or USD 2.9 billion), representing only 17% of total ULB revenues in 2021. However, only about 56% of ULBs reported debt levels in 2021, indicating that the picture is incomplete and that the debt is likely underestimated. A World Bank report (2020) estimates that ULBs aggregate debt in 2018 is almost twice what the Ministry of Housing and Urban Affairs reported (131,000,000,000 Indian rupee or USD 1,922,000,000). Total reported ULB debt increased by almost 12% in real terms from 2016 to 2020. ULB's borrowing as a percentage of GDP has doubled in the last 6 years, but it's still very low at around 0.09% of GDP.[21]

Figure 1: Debt financing in ULBs (LCU -billions) and % of ULBs reporting



Source: CityFinance.in from Ministry of Housing and Urban Affairs and author's calculations

Lenders and types of instruments: ULBs can borrow from government institutions, banking institutions, specialized infrastructure finance entities and from the capital market. Borrowing generally falls into two categories: (i) borrowing that requires a state guarantee, and (ii) borrowing based on the ULB's own balance sheet.

State Financial Institutions (SFIs) such as Housing & Urban Development Corporation Ltd (HUDCO)[22] and Life Insurance Corporation of India (LIC) lend to ULBs for urban infrastructure and social development. These loans typically require state guarantees, particularly when the ULB is small or lacks a strong credit profile. HUDCO offers loans with tenors of up to 15 years, while LIC loans range from 5 to 15 years.

Scheduled Commercial Banks (SCB),[23] particularly with state or federal ownership, are also active lenders. They typically provide loans with shorter tenors (3 to 7 years) and may require guarantees depending on the perceived risk of the borrower.

Financial intermediary funds, such as specialized infrastructure finance entities[24] and sector-specific municipal development funds,[25] have played an important role in financing ULB projects. The Tamil Nadu Urban Development Fund (TNUDF), for example, is one of the oldest and most established municipal development funds in India, providing long-term loans to ULBs in Tamil Nadu without requiring state guarantees. This fund operates as a public-private partnership and has supported various urban infrastructure projects.

In addition to direct lending, some intermediary funds facilitate pooled financing mechanisms, which allow smaller and medium-sized ULBs to jointly access capital markets with structured credit enhancements. Box 2 presents the case of the Water and Sanitation Pooled Fund (WSPF), a pooled financing vehicle in Tamil Nadu that has successfully mobilized resources for local infrastructure projects.

Box 2 Pooled Financing

India has seen growing use of pooled financing mechanisms by state-level financial intermediaries to help small and medium-sized ULBs access commercial finance. In Tamil Nadu, this approach has been implemented through the Water and Sanitation Pooled Fund (WSPF) managed by the Tamil Nadu Urban Infrastructure Financial Services Limited (TNUIFSL).

WSPF is a pooled financing vehicle explicitly designed to help small and medium ULBs jointly access capital markets. It has structured pooled bond issues that incorporate multiple credit enhancement features, such as escrow accounts, debt service reserve funds, and state intercept mechanisms.

As of March 2022, WSPF had mobilized Rs.807.40 crore (approximately USD 107.7 million) through a mix of bond issuances and other borrowings. Of this, Rs.302.30 crore (approximately USD 40.3 million) was raised via six pooled bond issues disbursed to ULBs for urban infrastructure development. All bond obligations were serviced on time during FY2021–22.

Source: TNUIFSL (2024)

Capital Market: ULBs can also access the capital market through the issuance of municipal bonds. While these represent a small share of total municipal borrowing, their use has been growing. Historically, most municipal bond issuances have been general obligation bonds unsecured and not backed by project revenue, but recent years have seen a gradual shift toward structured mechanisms that reduce investor risk without requiring state guarantees. Notably, green municipal bonds have emerged as a tool to finance climate-related and environmentally sustainable infrastructure projects. For instance, the Vadodara Municipal Corporation issued a green bond in 2023 to fund a solar power project, signaling increased interest in thematic bond instruments among Indian ULBs. Municipal bonds usually have a tenor of 5-10 years and a fixed interest rate between 8 and 10%. ULB bond issuance has had intermittent progress over the years. Between 1997 and 2010, ULBs issued 25 municipal bonds. Between 2011 and 2016, there was no municipal bond issuance possibly due to low ULBs creditworthiness and a cumbersome process of issuing bonds. In 2015, however,[26][27] SEBI's new Municipal Bond Regulations of 2015 (amended in 2017) improved the municipal bond market by providing a well laid out process for municipal bond issuance. The regulations prescribe the eligibility, terms and conditions for public issuance including tenure, credit rating, minimum subscription, accounting, auditing, and reporting requirements and other disclosures.[28] In 2017 Indian municipalities started to issue bonds again. 23 bonds have been issued by 18 ULBs in the period from 2017 to 2025 (See Figure 2). Tenors of the issuances vary between 5 and 10 years, with an average fixed interest rate of 9 % (from a minimum of 7.15% to a maximum of 10.32), and an average issue size of 5.5 billion INR (or USD 80 million). Figure 3 highlights ULBs main lenders and instruments as percentage of total debt in 2021.

Figure 2: Municipal Bonds Issuances as of 30/06/2025 (Amounts in Rs. Crs)



Figure 3: Lenders and instruments as percent of total debt (2020)



Source: CityFinance.in from Ministry of Housing and Urban Affairs and author's calculations

Use of Special Purpose Entities and Public-Private Partnerships While at the national level and state level India's SPEs such as utility companies, Special Purpose Vehicles (SPV) and State-Owned Enterprises (SPE)

have flourished, research indicates that there is limited use of municipal-owned companies.

While public-private partnerships (PPPs) are gaining prominence in urban infrastructure development policies, municipal PPPs awarded in the last 20 years (around 134 projects with project cost of ~ USD 5.5 billion) suggest that PPP-structure usage has declined after peaking between 2007 and 2012.

Most PPPs are used for water, waste management and transportation projects. In 2018, five states i.e. Maharashtra, Tamil Nadu, Uttar Pradesh, Gujarat, and Andhra Pradesh, held a 71% share by project value of ULBs' PPPs and a 65% share in volume terms.[29]

The lack of capacity in the ULBs is one major obstacle to implementing successful PPPs. Also, inadequate monitoring, transparency and accountability have adversely impacted the performance of municipal PPPs projects in India.[30]

Transparency & open data Information about the fiscal positions of municipalities in India is limited. Most do not make their balance sheets publicly available, and many still rely on the cash accounting system.[31] While the National Municipal Accounting Manual (NMAM) provides a framework for uniform accounting practices, the implementation varies widely. Most states apply a uniform set of standards within their jurisdictions, but these standards can differ significantly from one state to another. This makes it difficult to compare financial records across states and may still present challenges within states due to inconsistent implementation or reporting practices. While municipalities typically prepare budgets and compare actual expenditures to planned figures, they rarely utilize audited financial statements for managing balance sheets or cash flow, leading to substantial inefficiencies.[32]

ULBs are bound under the Right to Information Act (RTI Act) and under public disclosure legislation[33] enacted by respective state governments. ULBs publish information pertaining to various facets of municipal governance such as: personnel, particulars of administrative structure, finances and operations.[34] However, most ULBs do not report on time. In 2022, only 48% of ULBs reported their public finance data to the Ministry of Housing and Urban Affairs.[35] Furthermore, most accounts are not externally audited and the classification of accounts is not consistent. The lack of transparency hinders ULBs' creditworthiness and their ability to borrow.[36]

Platforms such as the CityFinance portal have been developed to centralize and improve access to municipal finance data. While these initiatives mark progress, reporting remains inconsistent. Notably, the 15th Central Finance Commission required ULBs to meet conditions related to timely audited accounts and financial reporting to be eligible for performance-based grants, signaling a push for improved accountability and transparency.[37]

Official, publicly available statistics on liabilities and borrowing				
Item	***Total liabilities***	***Total liabilities breakdown by level of government***	***Sources of borrowing breakdown***	***Borrowing instruments breakdown***
Official source(s)	Ministry of Housing and Urban Affairs	Ministry of Housing and Urban Affairs	Ministry of Housing and Urban Affairs	Ministry of Housing and Urban Affairs
Latest update; (periodicity)	2021, (annual)	2021, (annual)	2021, (annual)	2021, (annual)

Financial & debt management: Aggregate borrowing is low, and it accounts for only 17% of total ULB revenues.[38] However, due to the lack of consistent reporting, it is not possible to accurately estimate the total aggregate debt. As shown in Table 1, the percentage of ULBs reporting is consistently low,

peaking at 56% in 2021. There are many reasons for low ULB borrowing levels. While a low and decreasing debt to revenue ratio implies greater capacity to borrow[39] and increasing urbanization and growing investment needs will likely increase demand for borrowing, an increase in transfers from the central government might reduce borrowing needs. It is important to note that while borrowing has remained relatively steady or shown a slight increase over the past ten years as a percentage of GDP, transfers from both state and union governments have increased. This increasing reliance on intergovernmental transfers may reduce the incentive or perceived need for ULBs to seek capital through borrowing. As can be seen from Table 1, from 2016 to 2022, transfers went from 0.1% of GDP to 0.2% and own revenue has remained mostly stable. Finally, ULBs' expenditures and revenues have increased relative to GDP since 2016.[40]

Table 1: ULBs' Finance Indicators (% of GDP)

	2015- 2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019 - 2020	2020 -2021	2021 - 2022
Percentage of ULBs reporting	33%	31%	23%	10%	54%	56%	48%
Tot Revenue	0.49%	0.45%	0.39%	Not Available	0.64%	0.55%	0.61%
Own Revenue	0.32%	0.31%	0.21%	Not Available	0.34%	0.28%	0.36%
Transfers	0.10%	0.09%	0.14%	Not Available	0.17%	0.17%	0.20%
Borrowings	0.04%	0.04%	0.04%	0.04%	0.05%	0.09%	Not Available
Expenditure	0.41%	0.40%	0.33%	Not Available	0.63%	0.55%	0.58%

Source: CCI-LGDB calculations based on cityfinance.in

A recent World Bank report (2022)[41] highlights that Indian ULBs are suffering both demand side and supply side constraints on borrowing.

ULBs in India face several constraints that limit their demand for borrowing. A key issue is their weak financial autonomy, as revenue mobilization remains low due to restricted taxation powers and a high dependence on tied fiscal transfers from state and national governments. This reliance on grants discourages ULBs from seeking market-based financing, as they lack strong incentives to build a creditworthy balance sheet. Additionally, multi-year investment planning is weak, leading to an underdeveloped pipeline of bankable projects that could attract financing. Furthermore, poor financial disclosure and transparency create uncertainty for investors, making it difficult for ULBs to secure loans. These challenges are compounded by the limited implementation capacity of ULBs, as many struggle to execute capital expenditures efficiently, reducing their ability to absorb additional financing.

On the supply side, India's municipal debt market remains underdeveloped. Investors continue to prefer higher-rated credit instruments over municipal bonds, which limits financing access for most ULBs. The regulatory framework offers few incentives for long term institutional investors such as insurance companies and pension funds to participate in municipal debt, since these instruments are generally treated like corporate bonds without preferential risk weighting or tax benefits. At the same time, state-level approval requirements discourage banks and investors from engaging in municipal lending.[42] Without diversified sources of financing and a robust investor ecosystem, ULBs remain reliant on a narrow pool of lenders, further restricting the supply of commercial borrowing options.

Municipal Corporations:

A report titled "Report on Municipal Finances" from the Reserve Bank of India analyzes the finances of 232 municipal corporations (MCs)[43] from 2019-20 to 2023-24. The top 10 MCs, identified based on total revenue receipts, accounted for over 58% of the total municipal revenue. Their revenue grew from Indian rupee 651.77 billion (approximately $8.79 billion USD using the 2020 exchange rate) in 2019-20 to Indian rupee 985.08 billion (approximately $13.29 billion USD). Overall, total municipal revenue receipts increased from Indian rupee 1,113.08 billion (approximately $15.02 billion USD) in 2019-20 to Indian rupee 1,707.22 billion (approximately $23.04 billion USD). Own tax revenue contributed 30%, with property tax comprising over 16%. Expenditures rose from Indian rupee 2,425.55 billion (approximately $32.73 billion USD) to Indian rupee 3,893.06 billion (approximately $52.53 billion USD), with capital expenditure making up 61.5% for infrastructure development. Borrowings grew modestly from Indian rupee 28.86 billion (approximately $389.4 million USD) to Indian rupee 133.64 billion (approximately $1.80 billion USD), while municipal bonds outstanding totaled Indian rupee 42.04 billion (approximately $567.5 million USD), including green bonds for sustainable projects. Fiscal challenges include heavy reliance on transfers (31.3% of revenue), uneven revenue distribution, limited borrowing capacity, and inefficiencies in tax collection and financial management.

Several ULBs have been rated recently as part of their preparations for issuing municipal bonds. In 1995, the Ahmedabad Municipal Corporation (AMC) was the first ULB to request a credit rating from one of India's leading credit rating agencies, Credit Ratings and Information Services of India Ltd. (CRISIL). At that time, no credit rating had ever been performed for an Indian municipality. As of 2023, 223 cities across all states have received credit ratings from agencies such as CRISIL. 95 of these cities received "investment grade" ratings (BBB- or above) and 22 received ratings A and above.[44]

Subnational government finances: key ratios				
Level of government	***Total reported liabilities, % of total revenue***	***Borrowing (loans & bonds), % of total reported liabilities***	***Debt service, % of total expenditures***	***Operating surplus, % of total revenue***
Local (ULBs)	17% (2021)	88% (2021)	0.9% (2022)	3.8% (2022)
All sub-national governments (ULBs + states)	208% (2023)	11% (2023)	10%[45] (2023)	-20% (2023)

Source: WB CCI-LGDB

Main legal provisions	
Approved lenders:	Government/public banks, commercial banks, International financial Institutions, financial intermediary funds, capital markets.
Instruments:	Currency and deposits, loans, and bonds.
Central govt guarantee:	There are no central government guarantees for municipal bonds in India. While some states have provided guarantees, this practice is not widespread and is not the primary mechanism used. Municipalities more commonly rely on other credit enhancement tools to secure credit ratings.[46]

Revenue intercept (pledge) of government transfers:	There is no central government regulation regarding a revenue intercept. Some states allow local governments to pledge collateral to lenders such as property and own-source revenue streams.
Insolvency framework:	There is no specific insolvency regime for local governments[47]
Accounting basis:	Accrual basis, but many local bodies are still preparing their financial statements under cash basis accounting and are yet to adopt accrual basis.
Credit rating requirements for borrowing:	None found, the union government has embarked on a program of rating Urban Local Bodies, but this is not a mandated requirement for obtaining credit
Debt capacity limit:	Yes, in some states[48]
Debt service limit:	Yes, in some states[49]
Reporting of contingent liabilities:	In India ULBs are required to report contingent liabilities unless the possibility of any outflow in settlement is remote. The National Municipal Accounting Manual establishes that the following shall be disclosed by the ULB in the 'Statement on Contingent Liabilities': • Amount of Capital Contracts remaining to be executed and not provided for • Amount of claim in respect of suits filed against the ULB for which the ULB may be liable, in case the ULB loses suits • Claim against the ULB not acknowledged as debts • Other money for which the ULB is contingently liable.
Reporting of Joint Ventures & Public Private Partnerships:	The Accounting Standard for Local Bodies (ASLB) 32, 'Service Concession Arrangements: Grantor', issued by the Council of the Institute of Chartered Accountants of India is broadly consistent with IPSAS 32. ULBs are required to register the assets of PPP contracts and the liabilities corresponding to them to virtually all contract assets.

Significant legal and regulatory instruments	
Constitutional amendments (GoI)	
Local Government Loans Act (1914)	Grants Local Authorities the power to contract loans.
73rd Constitutional Amendment Act (1992)	Outlines powers of self-governance of *Panchayats* (rural governments) such as giving Panchayats a distinct status; and reinforcing allocation of financial and administrative powers from States to local governing bodies of *Panchayats* providing adequate finance through securing authorization from State legislature.
74th Constitutional Amendment Act (1992)	Subject to implementing state legislation, the amendment empowers the ULBs to mobilize resources independent of the state

	governments for the provision, operation, and maintenance of urban services as listed in twelfth schedule[50] of the Federal Constitution.
The Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016 (IBC)	The IBC is the bankruptcy law of India which seeks to consolidate the existing framework by creating a single law for insolvency and bankruptcy. It is not clear if this law applies to ULBs which are treated as public bodies or only to corporations.
Security and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) regulations	
Securities and Exchange Board of India (Issue and Listing of Debt Securities by Municipalities) Regulations (2015)	This regulation establishes a framework for issue and listing of Municipal Bonds.

Organizational roles and responsibilities: debt	
National govt. regulatory & process	
Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI)	In 2015 SEBI released detailed guidelines for the issue and listing of local government bonds. The aim was to render the bonds safer for investors. Before proceeding to the capital market, a local government needs to have authorization from the local, state, and central (if it is to have tax-free status) governments to contract debt, as well as approval from SEBI.
Finance Commission	Finance Commissions are periodically constituted (every 5 years) by the President of India, under Article 280 of the Constitution, to recommend the sharing and distribution of between the federal government and states. The last Finance Commission was in 2017.
Reserve Bank of India (RBI)	RBI has the powers to regulate lenders and lending instruments. The RBI recently eased rules for foreign investors by allowing them to invest in municipal bonds under prescribed limits to broaden access of non–resident investors to debt instruments in India.
Ministry of Finance (MoF)	The Ministry of Finance regulates the tax regime surrounding municipal investment
Main lenders	
Government institutions:	
Housing & Urban Development Corporation Ltd. (HUDCO)	HUDCO supports ULBs financing needs through grant in aids and municipal loans. HUDCO's lending is primarily in the energy and commercial sectors. HUDCO also loans to ULBs for supporting the housing needs of urban poor. HUDCO must borrow from banks and financial institutions at the current market rates and requires state guarantee.
Life Insurance Corporation of India (LIC)	LIC is the largest life insurance company in the country and India's largest investor. LIC has been mainly financing water supply and sewerage with state government guarantees.
Banking institutions:	
Scheduled commercial banks (SCBs)	As of 2011, India had 88 SCBs: 27 public sector banks, 31 private banks, and 38 foreign banks. SCBs with state or Government of India ownership are the most active in the municipal space. Especially dominant are the State banks in each state. Lending seems to be based on local banking relationships.[51]

Sources:

Glasser, Matt. 2020. Municipal Bonds in Three Countries: India, South Africa and the United States. Journal of Comparative Urban Law and Policy: Vol. 4 : Iss. 1 , Article 15, 96-132. Available at: https://readingroom.law.gsu.edu/jculp/vol4/iss1/15

Government of India. 2020. Fifteen Finance Commission (2020-25), Finance Commission, India.

Government of India. 2014. Fourteenth Finance Commission (2015-20), Finance Commission, India.

ICRIER. 2019. Financs of Municipal Corporations in Metropolitan Cities of India. A Study Prepared for the Fifteenth Finance Commission.

Nzanthung Ngullie, Krishna Chaitanya Maturi, Ajay S. Kalamdhad, Boeing Laishram. 2021.Critical success factors for PPP MSW projects – perception of different stakeholder groups in India, Environmental Challenges, Volume 5, 2021,100379, ISSN 2667-0100, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envc.2021.100379.

Securities and Exchange Board of India, Issue and Listing of Municipal Debt Securities Regulations, 2015 as amended, with effect from 27 September 2019

World Bank. 2020. Constraints to commercial financing for urban infrastructure in Indian cities. Washington DC.

Reserve Bank of India. 2022. Report On Municipal Finances. RBI.

Reserve Bank of India. 2024. Report On Municipal Finances. RBI.

Reserve Bank of India. (2024, November). Report on municipal finances: Municipal corporations in India—An assessment. Reserve Bank of India.

World Bank. 2020. Constraints to commercial financing for urban infrastructure in Indian cities. Washington DC.

World Bank. 2014. Institutional agenda and PPP options for water supply and sewage in Ludhiana. Washington DC.

World Bank. 2011. Developing a Regulatory Framework for Municipal Borrowing in India, Vol. 1. Washington DC.

Appendix 1: Municipal finance laws in the 5 largest states (%total population)

Criteria	Bihar	Uttar Pradesh	West Bengal	Maharashtra	Madhya Pradesh
Is borrowing permitted?	Yes [BMA Sec 106] (municipal corporations, municipal councils and panchayats)	Yes [UPMCA Sec 154] (Municipal Corporations only)	Yes [WBMA Sec 72] (It extends to the whole of West Bengal, except Calcutta) [KoMCA Sec 134]	Yes [MMA (Municipal Councils, Panchayats and Townships) [MMCA Sec 109]	Yes [MPMCA Sec 102] (Municipal Corporations only)
Instruments	a. Loans. Municipal Bonds. Debentures [BMA Sec 106, 109, 116 & 120]	a. Debentures b. Loans on the security of any immovable property and/or any taxes, duties, tolls, fees and dues [UPMCA Sec 154]	a. Loans. Debentures [KoMCA Sec 134 & 137] [WBMA Sec 72]	a. Debentures. Loan on the security of any immovable property or on all the taxes or of any tax which it is authorized to levy [MMCA Sec 109]	a. Loans. Debentures [MPMCA Sec 102 & 105]
Borrowing purposes	a. Construction of works. Acquisition of lands and buildings c. Paying of any due to the Government or d. Repayment of a loan raised. Acquisition of public utility concern. Purchase of vehicles, locomotive engines, boilers and machinery g. Any other purpose stated under this act	a. Defraying any costs, charges or expenses. For discharging any loan contracted or any other loan or debt for the repayment of which the Corporation is liable. no loan shall be raised for the execution of any work other than a permanent work which expression shall	a. Construction of works. Acquisition of lands and buildings c. Paying of any due to the Government d. Repayment of a loan raised e. Acquisition of public utility concern. Purchase of vehicles, locomotive engines, boilers and machinery [KoMCA Sec 134]	a. Defraying any costs, charges or expenses, incurred or to be incurred b. Discharging any loan contracted under this Act or any other loan or debt c. For carrying out the purposes of this Act, including the advance of loans [MMCA Sec 109]	a. For construction of works b. For the acquisition of land c. For the payment of a loan raised or any other loan or debt for the repayment of which the Corporation is liable. For carrying out the purposes of the Act, including the advance of loans authorized

	[BMA Sec 106]	include any work of which the cost should, in the opinion of the State Government, be spread over a term of years [UPMCA Sec 154]			[MPMCA Sec 102]
Borrowing Limits	Yes. [BMA Sec 106 & 107] [BMA Rule 116]	Not found	Yes, 15% of annual value of land and building [KoMCA Sec 135]	Not found	Yes [MPMCA Sec 104]
State Government approval required	Yes [BMA Sec 107(1)]	Yes. [UPMCA Sec 154]	Yes [KoMCA Sec 134(1)]	Yes [MMCA Sec 109]	Yes [MPMCA Sec 104(1)]
Borrowing Conditions	Yes [BMA Sec 107(1)] [BMA Sec 107(2)] [BMA Rule 117]	Yes [UPMCA Sec 154]	Yes [KoMCA Sec 134 (1) & (2)]	Yes [MMCA Sec 109]	Yes [MPMCA Sec 104 (1)] [MPMCA Sec 104 (2)]
Maximum loan repayment period prescribed	Not found	Yes, 30 years [UPMCA Sec 154 & 156]	Yes, 60 years [KoMCA Sec 138]	Yes, 60 years [MMCA Sec 109]	Not found
Provisions to providing guarantees	Not found	Not found	Not found	Not found	Not found
Assets pledge	A Municipality may pledge its movable and immovable assets,	Not found	Not found	Not found	Not found

	lands, buildings and revenues from tax in special escrow accounts as security for the Municipal Bonds issued for development of urban infrastructure. [BMA Sec 122]				

Notes

[1] CCI-LGBD examines borrowing at the local government level. In most countries, this can be broken up into regional governments and local governments. In India's case, "local governments" includes Urban Local Bodies. *Panchayat* entities are not enabled to borrow under law.
[2] In 2017
[3] Does not include ULB data
[4] CPI adjusted numbers with 2016 as base year: www.worldbank.org/en/research/brief/inflation-database
[5] Ministry of Urban Development report (2011)
[6] Glasser, 2020
[7] 15th Finance Commission
[8] World Bank, 2011
[9] Glasser, 2020
[10] Glasser, 2020
[11] OECD, 2016
[12] The State Development Loans (SDLs) market is the illiquid segment of the Indian bond market.
[13] The Institute of Chartered Accountants of India, 2018
[14] World Bank, 2020
[15] Government of India, 2021
[16] such as the purpose, authorization, limits, financial characteristics, tenor, securities offered, sources of repayment, accounting, reporting and local government disclosure related to borrowing or bond issues
[17] in case of municipal default, as well as reorganization and debt work-out arrangements.
[18] World Bank, 2011
[19] World Bank, 2011
[20] World Bank, 2020
[21] Cityfinance.in
[22] HUDCO requires State guarantees for their municipal loans, despite difficulties in enforcing them.
[23] Public sector banks, either nationalized or owned by a government agency, Indian privately-owned banks, foreign banks licensed in India
[24] India Infrastructure Finance Company Ltd (IIFC), Infrastructure Development Finance Company Limited (IDFC), Infrastructure Leasing & Financial Services Limited (IL&FS)
[25] Pan-India Pooled Municipal Debt Obligation (PMDO) Facility and State specific Tamil Nadu Urban Development Fund (TNUDF)
[26] The Institute of Chartered Accountants of India, 2018
[27] As per the Fourteenth Finance Commission, the cost recovery and the collection efficiency of user charges remain far below the service level benchmarks specified.
[28] The Institute of Chartered Accountants of India, 2018
[29] World Bank, 2020
[30] Ngullie et al., 2021
[31] RBI, 2024
[32] Reserve Bank of India, 2022
[33] The enactment of Public Disclosure Law refers to making appropriate provisions in the state-level municipal statute(s) and/or other state-level statutes to ensure that these disclosures are mandatory (USAID, 2010).
[34] Ministry of Finance, 2017
[35] According to Cityfinance.in
[36] 15th Finance Commission
[37] 15th Finance Commission
[38] CityFinance.in, 2024
[39] Increased revenues from an. increasingly wealthy population and growing economy would also increase borrowing capacity.
[40] ICRIER, 2019
[41] Athar, S., White, R., Goyal, H. 2022
[42] Most ULBs depend on discretionary state consent to borrow or issue bonds (see Appendix 1, which shows state approval is required in all five major states).

[43] Out of a total 275 Municipal Corporations in 2024. https://igod.gov.in/leg/L008/organizations
[44] CityFinance.in, 2024
[45] Interest payments
[46] From Glasser, 2020.
[47] From World Bank, 2020 "In case of corporate debt, there are clear mechanisms that the RBI has put in place for debt recovery including Debt tribunal Act, SARFARESI and more recently the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code (IBC). It is not clear these statutes apply to ULBs which are treated as public bodies."
[48] In Madhya Pradesh ULBs debt stock limit cannot be more than twice the annual value of buildings and lands
[49] In West Bengal ULBs debt service limit is 15% of annual value of buildings and lands
[50] The Twelfth Schedule of the Constitution lists functions of the urban local bodies such as: urban planning, roads and bridges, poverty alleviation, fire services, water supply, etc.
[51] World Bank, 2011

This country profile and accompanying database is a joint global knowledge product of the World Bank Group's City Creditworthiness Initiative, managed by the Urban, Disaster Risk Management & Land global department and the IFC Cities team.

The full database and country profiles along with methodological details are available on the following link: www.citycred.org/database

Representation

The country profiles and database provide information on the prevailing situation and enabling environment for municipal and local government entities for accessing debt financing in selected developing countries. They are based on publicly accessible information including government statistics.

The findings, interpretations, and conclusions expressed in this work do not necessarily reflect the views of the Executive Directors of The World Bank or the governments they represent. The World Bank does not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, or currency of the data included in this work and does not assume responsibility for any errors, omissions, or discrepancies in the information, or liability with respect to the use of or failure to use the information, methods, processes, or conclusions set forth. The boundaries, colors, denominations, links/footnotes and other information shown in this work do not imply any judgment on the part of The World Bank concerning the legal status of any territory or the endorsement or acceptance of such boundaries. The citation of works authored by others does not mean the World Bank endorses the views expressed by those authors or the content of their works. Nothing herein shall constitute or be construed or considered to be a limitation upon or waiver of the privileges and immunities of The World Bank, all of which are specifically reserved.

Rights and Permissions

Attribution—Please cite the work as follows: "World Bank Group. 2025. Country Profile: Local Government Borrowing Database. © World Bank."